
$\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


$\qquad$


Recap

- Valuable Consideration
- Cudd v. Aschenbrenner
- Quick Summary... $\qquad$

Recap $\qquad$

- Contract Consideration
- Seattle Times v. Tielsch Quick Summary..
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## Consideration

$\qquad$

- RECAP - Primary Theories
$\qquad$
Valuable Consideration - parting of something of marketable value,
Contract Consideration - consideration sufficient to create a binding obligation or agreement.
- Promoter Benefit

WIS STATS $\S 945(5)(b)$ 1. "Consideration" in this subsection means anything which is a commercial or financial advantage to the promoter or a disadvantage to any participant, but does not include any advantage to the promoter or disadvantage any participant caused when any participant learns from newspapers, participant's name and address to the promoter

## Consideration
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- CONSIDERATION + CHANCE + PRIZE = Games of Chance or Lottery

Consideration

- CONSIDERATION + CHANCE + PRIZE $=$ Sweepstakes
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Consideration $\qquad$
$\qquad$
-What does free mean?
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## Consideration

- In some states, an alternative free method of entry will eliminate the element of consideration for a gambling analysis.
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## Consideration

- In some states, an alternative free method of entry will eliminate the element of consideration for a gambling analysis.
- The free method must have "Equal Dignity" with methods associated with a $\qquad$ purchase

Equal dignity" means that there is equality among entrants with regard to four aspects of the sweepstakes:

- (i) method of entry
- (ii) opportunity to win,
- (iii) claiming prizes, and
- (iv) prizes awarded
$\qquad$

Consideration

- https://www.newegg.com/promotions/nepro/20-1699/index.html
- https://promotions.newegg.com/social/Intel Asus 10900K Super Build_Giveaway - Official\%20Rules\%20(FINAL).pdf
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## CHANCE
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Chance $\qquad$

- For those that have never taken a gaming law course in the past, how would you distinguish chance from skill?
$\qquad$


## Chance

$\qquad$

- Dominant Factor - Predominance Test - American Test
- This is the prevailing test used by most state courts and the federal courts when assessing the existence of the gambling element of chance, and is
sometimes referred to as the "American Test"
$\qquad$
- Under this test, one must envision a continuum with pure skill on one end
$\qquad$
and pure chance on the other. The element of chance is met if chance predominates over skill in determining the outcome of the contest, even if the activity requires some skill. In theory, an activity crosses from skill to chance exactly in the middle of the continuum. On the continuum, games
such as chess would be almost at the pure skill end, while traditional slot such as chess would be almost at the pure skill end, while traditional slot
machines would be at the pure chance end of the continuum. Between thes ends, there are many games that contain both skill and chance. In this area, there is always legal risk because it is a subjective assessment as to where on the continuum a game that is part skill and part chance lies.
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- Slots
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Chance

- Blackjack
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Chance

- Chess
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Chance $\qquad$

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Chance

- Gambling Instinct
- In a minority of states, the relative predominance of skill versus chance is
irrelevant. In these states, courts merely look at the nature of an activity to
determine whether it appeals to one's "gambling instinct." If an activity appeals to one's "gambling instinct," it is prohibited. Because this test is as subjective, and arguably more so, than the predominance test, court decisions vary widely in its application to particular games.
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- Gambling Instinct
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## Chance
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Chance $\qquad$
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https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=mF-OaCvPeVA $\qquad$

Chance $\qquad$

- Material Element or Any Chance $\qquad$
- In a few states, the relative predominance of skill versus chance is irrelevant These states prohibit any payment for the opportunity to win something based on a game where chance has any role in determining the outcome. At times, older court opinions assessed games based on appealing to one's "gambling instinct," when any element of chance determined the outcome of an event.
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Chance $\qquad$
$\qquad$

- Tournament electronic golf machine $\qquad$
- Statistically, skill is clearly the dominant factor in winning.
- Skill increases as players increase their frequency of play
- Mathematical models show skill is clearly dominant in determining the outco a round of e-golf
$\qquad$
- All machines and components are kept in virtually the same condition.

If any part wears, becomes stuck or operates outside of tolerances the machine is tilted and player funds are returned. $\qquad$
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## Chance
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- Tournament electronic golf machine
- Players pay an entry fee (\$100)
- Players play against other players on networked machines

$\qquad$
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- Tournament winners receive cash and other valuable prizes
- Machines located nation wide
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## Chance

$\qquad$

- Bruce M. Botelho, Attorney General May 22, 2001 -
- Under Alaska law, crimes involving gambling depend on the statutory definition of "gambling" in AS 11.66.280. That
definition excludes certain business transactions and charitable
gaming. With some narrow exceptions (such as playing in a social game in a home), gambling means:
- (1) staking or risking something of value, in other words, paying an entry fee or betting;
- (2) to obtain something of value, in other words, to win a prize;
- (3) based on
- (a)
- (b)
; or



## Chance

- Bruce M. Botelho, Attorney General May 22, 2001 -
- The final element in determining whether an activity constitutes illegal gambling turns on the concepts of skill and chance. As noted previously, gambling requires a contest of chance3 or a future contingent event.



## Chance
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- Bruce M. Botelho, Attorney General May 22, 2001 -



## Chance

$\qquad$

- Bruce M. Botelho, Attorney General May 22, 2001 -
- The Alaska Legislature made it clear that "Games of pure skill, e.g., chess, will not be considered gambling if the contestants bet against each other." Senate Journal Supp. No. 47, at 112-14 (June 12, 1978). By contrast, although betting between chess players (i, e, those in control is the out is in (i.e., those in control of the outcome) is not gambling, betting by onlookers is gambling. The reason for this, the legislature determined, was that "from the onlooker's perspective, the
outcome depends on 'chance' as he has no control over the outcome depends on 'chance' as he has no control over the
outcome." Id. The legislature thus recognized that the player's outcome." Id. The legislature thus recognized that the playe
control over the outcome of a contest is a critical element.
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## Chance
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- Bruce M. Botelho, Attorney General May 22, 2001 -
- Notwithstanding that the skill of the participants may be the predominant factor in achieving a high score on computer video machines, we are of the opinion that the complex, adjustable, or inter-connected nature of these devices, and lack of playe control over the outcome, creates a material degree of uncertainty and chance as to whether the player will win a prize, which brings these devices within the ambit of Alaska gambling
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- Supreme Court of Alabama - Opinion of the Justices
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## Chance

- Supreme Court of Alabama - Opinion of the Justices - Describe the historical analysis provided by the justices.
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Chance $\qquad$

The Crane Game $\qquad$
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- https://voutu.be/eHzxgucnHiA
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## Chance

- Dominant Factor Revisited
- Though many states use the "dominant factor test" many courts
in those states have come to different conclusions regarding the analysis of the same games or events. For example, (i) both Massachusetts and Kansas have used the predominance test to assess the element of chance, yet the states disagree on the classification for the "crane gainance test to assess the element of Jersey have used the predomina chance, yet the states disagree on the classification for
backgammind ance test to assess the element of chata have used states disagree whether skill or chance predominates in a hole in-one golfing contest.
- How do you explain these differences?


## Chance

$\qquad$

- Remember it is the whether the participants risking consideration are controlling the outcome of the event.
- "Bona-fide contests of skill, speed, strength or endurance.

Chance
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Chance

- Bob and Ray play chess and bet each other $\$ 100$ each on who
will win.
- They bet against each other with Michael, who will take $\$ 110$ from each
$\qquad$ of them and pay the winner $\$ 210$, keeping $\$ 10$ for his services $\qquad$
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Chance


Hypotheticals - Part 1

- Client Alex T. wants to put coin operated networked trivia machines in bars with a game show theme.
- Players pay $\$ 5$ to enter an hourly round of trivia games.
- Each hour, the player with the highest score nationally wins $\$ 100$, to be paid out by the sponsoring bar. $\qquad$
- Client Alex T. is asking for a clean opinion, can it be provided?
-What risk reduction measures would you suggest?
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Hypotheticals - Part 2

- Ezra from rebgame.com calls $\qquad$
- Rebgame is an online streaming site with Xbox Series X head-to-head gaming content (Forza
Racing, NHL 22, FIFA 22, NBA 22, Madden 22...)
- Rebgame is funded entirely by player subscriptions
- Rebgames wants to add the following:

Rebgames wants to add the following:
a servite feee olayers can play each other for money in amounts determined by the players. Rebgame will collect


winner in proportion of their stat
Bob plays Doug in Madden 22.
B.
Ted stakes $\$ 2200$ on Boob, and Jake stakes $\$ 100$ on Bob.
Elwod stakes 5400 on Doug and Jim stakes $\$ 1100$ on Jim.
The total pet is 51100 .
Rebgames gets $\$ 110$ in service fees leaving $\$ 990$
Bob enets half of the remainder $\$ 1955$, the other half is split $50 \%$ to Bill( $\$ 97.50$ ), $33.3 \%$ to Ted ( $(565$ ), and $16.6 \%$ to Aake
$(\$ 3250)$


